



Entropy and Attack Models in Information Flow

Mário S. Alvim, Miguel E. Andrés, Catuscia Palamidessi

► To cite this version:

Mário S. Alvim, Miguel E. Andrés, Catuscia Palamidessi. Entropy and Attack Models in Information Flow. 6th IFIP TC 1/WG 2.2 International Conference on Theoretical Computer Science (TCS) / Held as Part of World Computer Congress (WCC), Sep 2010, Brisbane, Australia. pp.53-54, 10.1007/978-3-642-15240-5_4 . hal-00548212

HAL Id: hal-00548212

<https://hal.science/hal-00548212>

Submitted on 7 Jan 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

Entropy and Attack Models in Information Flow

(Invited talk)

Mário S. Alvim¹ Miguel E. Andrés² Catuscia Palamidessi¹

¹INRIA and LIX, École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France.

²University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in considering the quantitative aspects of Information Flow, partly because often the a priori knowledge of the secret information can be represented by a probability distribution, and partly because the mechanisms to protect the information may use randomization to obfuscate the relation between the secrets and the observables.

Several works in literature use an Information Theoretic approach to model the problem and define the leakage in a quantitative way, see for example [17,4,9,10,13,12,2]. The idea is that the system is seen as a *channel*. The input represents the secret, the output represents the observable, and the correlation between the input and output (*mutual information*) represents the information leakage. The worst case leakage corresponds then to the *capacity* of the channel, which is by definition the maximum mutual information that can be obtained by varying the input distribution.

In the works mentioned above, the notion of mutual information is based on *Shannon entropy*, which (because of its mathematical properties) is the most established measure of uncertainty. From the security point of view, this measure corresponds to a particular model of attack and a particular way of estimating the security threat (vulnerability of the secret). Other notions have been considered, and argued to be more appropriate for security in certain scenarios. These include: *Rényi min-entropy* [1,16], *Bayes risk* [3], *guessing entropy* [11], and *marginal guesswork* [14]. Köpf and Basin discuss the relation between brute-force guessing attacks and entropy in [8], in the context of information flow induced by a deterministic program, and define the information leakage as difference between the input entropy and the conditional one, namely the entropy based on the a priori input distribution, and the entropy of the a posteriori distribution (i.e. after observing the output), respectively. One of their main results is that, in their framework, the notion of leakage under the various notions of attacks considered in their paper is always non-negative.

In this talk, we extend the analysis of Köpf and Basin to the probabilistic scenario, and we consider also other notions of entropy, including the family of entropies proposed by Rényi [15]. We argue that in the probabilistic case the notion of information leakage needs to be revised. In fact, when the same secret can give different observables (according to a probability distribution), the difference between a priori and a posteriori entropy may be negative. This is due to the fact that the notion of entropy uses the probability distribution in two different ways: for averaging and for representing the belief of the attacker. While the leakage should depend on the difference induced by the belief change

due to the observation, the averaging probability should remain the same. (A similar concern has also inspired the works of [5] and [7].) In order to avoid the unnatural consequence of a negative leakage, we propose to base the notion of leakage directly on the (more primitive) notion of mutual information. We consider some cases of entropy, in particular the Rényi's entropies, for which the corresponding notion of mutual information has been investigated in [6], and we show that in this way the property of non-negativeness is ensured.

References

1. Christian Cachin. *Entropy Measures and Unconditional Security in Cryptography*. PhD thesis, 1997.
2. Konstantinos Chatzikokolakis, Catuscia Palamidessi, and Prakash Panangaden. Anonymity protocols as noisy channels. *Inf. and Comp.*, 206(2–4):378–401, 2008.
3. Konstantinos Chatzikokolakis, Catuscia Palamidessi, and Prakash Panangaden. On the Bayes risk in information-hiding protocols. *Journal of Computer Security*, 16(5):531–571, 2008.
4. David Clark, Sebastian Hunt, and Pasquale Malacaria. Quantitative information flow, relations and polymorphic types. *J. of Logic and Comp.*, 18(2):181–199, 2005.
5. Michael R. Clarkson, Andrew C. Myers, and Fred B. Schneider. Belief in information flow. *Journal of Computer Security*, 17(5):655–701, 2009.
6. Imre Csiszár. Generalized cutoff rates and Rényi's information measures. *Transactions on Information Theory*, 41(1):26–34, 1995.
7. Sardaouna Hamadou, Vladimiro Sassone, and Catuscia Palamidessi. Reconciling belief and vulnerability in information flow. In *Proc. of the IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. IEEE, 2010. To appear.
8. Boris Köpf and David A. Basin. An information-theoretic model for adaptive side-channel attacks. In *Proc. of CCS*, pages 286–296. ACM, 2007.
9. Pasquale Malacaria. Assessing security threats of looping constructs. In *Proc. of POPL*, pages 225–235. ACM, 2007.
10. Pasquale Malacaria and Han Chen. Lagrange multipliers and maximum information leakage in different observational models. In *Proc. of PLAS*, pages 135–146. ACM, 2008.
11. Massey. Guessing and entropy. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, page 204. IEEE, 1994.
12. Ira S. Moskowitz, Richard E. Newman, Daniel P. Crepeau, and Allen R. Miller. Covert channels and anonymizing networks. In *Proc. of PES*, pages 79–88. ACM, 2003.
13. Ira S. Moskowitz, Richard E. Newman, and Paul F. Syverson. Quasi-anonymous channels. In *Proc. of CNIS*, pages 126–131. IASTED, 2003.
14. Pliam. On the incomparability of entropy and marginal guesswork in brute-force attacks. In *Proc. of INDOCRYPT*, number 1977 in LNCS, pages 67–79. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
15. Alfréd Rényi. On Measures of Entropy and Information. In *Proc. of the 4th Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics, Statistics, and Probability*, pages 547–561, 1961.
16. Geoffrey Smith. On the foundations of quantitative information flow. In *Proc. of FOSSACS*, volume 5504 of LNCS, pages 288–302. Springer, 2009.
17. Ye Zhu and Riccardo Bettati. Anonymity vs. information leakage in anonymity systems. In *Proc. of ICDCS*, pages 514–524. IEEE, 2005.